

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/834,432	04/13/2001	Christoph von Kopylow	GK-ZEI-3117 / 500343.2001	5907	
7:	590 02/03/2004		EXAMINER		
REED SMITH LLP			JACKSON, CORNELIUS H		
375 Park Avent	ue				
New York, NY	7 10152		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2828		
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/02/200	DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/834,432	KOPYLOW ET AL	
`	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cornelius H. Jackson	2828	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	S
THE REPLY FILED 24 December 2003 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this a : (1) a timely filed amendment peal (with appeal fee); or (3) a	application. A proper reply to which places the application	o a n in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exponents on the control of the co	pire later than SIX MONTHS from the	mailing date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the perifee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	iod of extension and the corresponding of the shortened statutory period for Office later than three months after t	ng amount of the fee. The appropri or reply originally set in the final Offi	iate extension ice action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 cm.)	nt's Brief must be filed within CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismi	the period set forth in ssal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:		,
(a) X they raise new issues that would require fu	irther consideration and/or sea	arch (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see No			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simpl	lifying the
(d) they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding number	er of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	jection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed am	endment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		considered but does NOT p	lace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered leading to the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOL	ELY to issues which were no	ewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmexplanation of how the new or amended claims	nent(s) a)⊠ will not be entere s would be rejected is provide	d or b)⊡ will be entered and d below or appended.	l an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	ws:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)		ed by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State		0(5)	
		Paul D	
10. Other:		DALILID	

PAUL IP SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Although the words used in the claim language of independent claim 1 are the same, the rearrangement of the words (or rewording of the claim), in a method claim, changes the scope of the claim and therefore requires further search and consideration. Furthermore, the claims recite method steps to maximize the performance curves by a computer, the claims do not show any patentable subject matter.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims rejections with respect to Zorabedian stands for the following reasons: "calibration of the individual elements by recording output" is not claimed and the displacement of the wedge-shaped element changes the optical path length of the laser beam, which is to be outputted, travels within the cavity; therefore the cavity length, with respect to the laser beam, changes.